

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/912,457	Applicant(s) ITOH, MITSURU
	Examiner Gladys J Piazza Corcoran	Art Unit 1733

All Participants:

(1) Gladys J Piazza Corcoran. (3) _____.

(2) Manabu Kanesaka. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

2, 4

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment in order to place the Application in condition for Allowance. It was suggested to amend the Specification page 1, line 20 to correct a typographical error; to amend claims 2-6 to delete "and sewing" from the preamble such that the preamble conforms with the body of the independent claims, and to amend claim 5 to further clarify the steps and in order to avoid possible new matter rejections. Applicant agreed to the changes. .